arXiv:1411.6349v3 [math.DG] 25 Jun 2016

OPTIMAL SWEEPOUTS OF A RIEMANNIAN 2-SPHERE

GREGORY R. CHAMBERS AND YEVGENY LIOKUMOVICH

Abstract. Given a sweepout of a Riemannian 2-sphere which is composed of curves of length less than L, we construct a second sweepout composed of curves of length less than L which are either constant curves or simple curves.

This result, and the methods used to prove it, have several consequences; we answer a question of M. Freedman concerning the existence of min-max embedded geodesics, we partially answer a question due to N. Hingston and H.-B. Rademacher, and we also extend the results of [CL] concerning converting homotopies to isotopies in an effective way.

1. Introduction

Let (S^2, g) be a Riemannian 2-sphere and let Λ denote the space of all smooth closed curves on (S^2, g). Let $E(\gamma) = \int_0^1 |\gamma'(s)|^2 ds$ denote the energy of a closed curve $\gamma \in \Lambda$. A *sweepout* $\{\gamma_t(s)\}_{t\in S^1}$ is a family of closed curves corresponding to the generator of $H_1(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the following min-max quantity

$$W(S^2, g) = \inf_{\{\gamma_t\}} \sup_t \sqrt{E(\gamma_t)}$$

where the infimum is taken over all sweepouts $\{\gamma_t\}$ of (S^2, g).

By a classical argument going back to Birkhoff [Bir], (S^2, g) contains a closed geodesic of length $W(S^2, g)$.

In the 1980s, M. Freedman considered the question of whether one can construct an embedded geodesic via min-max methods on the space Λ. Apart from being a fundamental question about closed geodesics, this question also has the following motivation. Consider a similar problem for families of 2-dimensional spheres in a homotopy sphere M. If we could replace a sweepout of M by immersed 2-spheres with a sweepout by embedded 2-spheres, then by the ambient isotopy theorem it would follow that M is diffeomorphic to S^3, implying the Poincaré conjecture (see [Freed]).

In this paper we give an affirmative answer to Freedman's question.

Theorem 1.1. *Every Riemannian 2-sphere (S^2, g) contains an embedded closed geodesic of length $W(S^2, g)$.*

A direct way of proving this result would be to start with an arbitrary sweepout, cut each curve in the family at the points of self-intersection, and then reglue them so that after a small perturbation we obtain a collection of embedded curves. Cutting and regluing at finitely many points increases the energy by an arbitrarily small amount. If we could always obtain a sweepout by embedded curves this way then the min-max argument yields a sequence of embedded closed curves converging to a (necessarily) embedded closed geodesic.

This cutting and regluing procedure can be done in many different ways. One may try to find a way so that the resulting collection of curves forms a continuous isotopy. In [Freed], Freedman showed that such a direct approach fails. He constructs a family of curve with the property that, no matter how we choose to reglue the curves, the new family will contain a discontinuity. In this article, we circumvent this problem by first performing certain surgeries and, more importantly, by making use of the idea from [CL] of moving 'back and forth' in time when constructing our homotopy out of regluings of curves. In general, the regluing procedure described above can produce many different simple curves from a given curve; this latter idea avoids discontinuities in the resulting isotopy by allowing it to pass through different regluings of each curve.

Theorem 1.1 follows from a stronger result about simple sweepouts of (S^2, g). We say that a family of curves γ_t for $t \in [-1, 1]$ is a *simple sweepout* of (S^2, g) if every curve is either a constant curve, or is a simple curve. We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *If there exists a sweepout $\{\gamma_t\}$ of (S^2, g) consisting of curves of length less than L and energy less than E, then there exists a simple sweepout of (S^2, g) consisting of curves of length less than L and energy less than E.*

Our construction of a simple sweepout consists of two steps. The first step is to modify our original sweepout γ so that it begins and ends at a constant curve. This is accomplished in Section 3 by means of a certain surgery along a self-intersection point. In particular, we define a procedure that involves cutting some of the curves in γ at their self-intersection points and assembling the resulting subcurves into a new noncontractible family of curves that starts and ends at a constant curve. This is illustrated in Figure 1.

For a general family of curves such a surgery may not be possible (see Figure 5 and the discussion at the end of 3.1). We show, however, that it is possible whenever the family γ is noncontractible. To prove this result, we derive a certain formula (Proposition 2.1) that relates the degree of the map γ to the number of times a small loop (oriented appropriately) is created or destroyed in this family. This formula is a consequence of Whitney's theorem that the turning number of a curve on the plane does not change under regular homotopies.



FIGURE 1. Producing a sweepout with constant curves as endpoints

The second step is to remove the self-intersections of each curve in the family. To accomplish this, we apply Theorem 1.1′ from [CL]. This theorem takes a homotopy between two simple closed curves γ_0 and γ_1 through curves of length (energy) less than L (resp. E), and either constructs an isotopy between γ_0 and γ_1 or an isotopy between γ_0 and $-\gamma_1$ through curves of length (energy) less than L (resp. E). Here, $-\gamma_1$ is γ_1 with the opposite orientation. We give a brief description of this construction as we will need to use some of its properties in this article.

Consider a closed curve C as a graph with vertices corresponding to self-intersection points and edges corresponding to arcs of the curve. A redrawing of C is a connected closed curve obtained by traversing the edges of this graph exactly once in such a way that the result is simple after a small perturbation. In [CL] the authors showed that, given a homotopy γ, the space of all redrawings of all curves in γ is homeomorphic to a graph and that this graph contains a path that connects a redrawing of the initial curve to a redrawing of the final curve. We apply the same argument to our family of curves that starts and ends at a point. As a result, we obtain a family that starts and ends at a point and consists of simple closed curves. A priori this new family may be contractible in Λ; this indeed happens if the degree of the map γ is even. In Section 4 we prove that, if we start with a family of curves that corresponds to a map

from torus to S^2 of odd degree, then this construction will produce a sweepout in which each curve in our modified family consists of arcs of some curve in the original family.

Our argument works in the same manner if we start from any family of closed curves which corresponds to a map from the torus to S^2 of odd degree. In particular, the methods above prove the following result, which partially answers a question of N. Hingston and H.-B. Rademacher which appeared in [HR] and [BM].

Let M be a Riemannian manifold. Given a homology class $X \in H_1(\Lambda M, \mathbb{Z})$ define a critical level of X to be the following min-max quantity

$$cr(X) = \inf_{\gamma \in X} \sup_{t \in S^1} \sqrt{E(\gamma_t)}$$

where the infimum runs over all families of curves in the homology class X. It is a standard result in Morse theory that every critical level corresponds to a closed geodesic on M of length equal to $cr(X)$. Given a homology class X of the free loop space of $M = (S^n, g)$ of infinite order, N. Hingston and H.-B. Rademacher asked how $cr(X)$ and $cr(kX)$ are related for each integer k. We answer this question for specific values of X, k, and n:

Corollary 1.3. *If X is the generator of $H_1(\Lambda M, \mathbb{Z})$ and k is odd, then*

$$cr(X) = cr(kX).$$

In the last section, we use the methods developed in this article to prove a conjecture from [CL] about isotopies of curves on a Riemannian 2-surface:

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold (with or without boundary) and let γ_0 and γ_1 be two simple closed curves which are homotopic through curves of length less than L. We have that at least one of the following statements holds:*

(1) γ_0 and γ_1 are homotopic through simple closed curves of length less than L.
(2) γ_0 and γ_1 are each contractible through simple closed curves of length less than L. Here, we mean that all curves except for the final curve are simple.

To illustrate this theorem, let γ_0 be a small contractible loop on a surface M and let γ_1 be the same loop with the opposite orientation and suppose they are homotopic through short curves. If M is a torus then γ_0 and γ_1 are homotopic, but not isotopic. If M is a sphere then γ_0 and γ_1 are isotopic, but possibly only through curves of much larger length.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Alexander Nabutovsky and Regina Rotman for introducing them to the questions studied in this paper, and for many useful discussions. They would also like to thank Michael Freedman for



FIGURE 2. Reidemeister moves

directing them to [Freed], and for pointing out that Theorem 1.1 followed from their results. Both authors were supported in part by the Government of Ontario through Ontario Graduate Scholarships.

2. Reidemeister moves and degrees of maps

2.1. **Generic sweepouts.** We begin by defining three types of *Reidemeister moves*, shown in Figure 2. These are ways in which a generic one parameter family of smooth curves may locally self-interact. As in this figure, we categorize them as Type 1, Type 2, and Type 3 moves.

Now, fix a map $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$. Thom's Multijet Transversality Theorem (see [GG], [CL, Proposition 2.1]) implies that we can perturb γ to $\widetilde{\gamma}$ so that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ has the following properties:

(1) For every $t \in S^1$, $length(\widetilde{\gamma}_t) < L$, $E(\widetilde{\gamma}_t) < E$.
(2) $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a sweepout.
(3) For each $t \in S^1$, $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ contains only isolated self-intersections. That is, for each self-intersection of each $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$, there is an open ball centered at that self-intersection that contains no other self-intersection.
(4) $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is composed of a finite set of Reidemeister moves. That is, there is a finite sequence of points $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in S^1$ such that exactly one Reidemeister

move occurs between t_i and t_{i+1} for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, and exactly one Reidemeister move occurs between t_n and t_1. At each time t_i, the curve has transverse intersections only.

Since any smooth map can be perturbed to have this property, we will assume that γ has already been put into this form. Additionally, for any sweepout γ, we say that it is *generic* if it has the above properties. We define a generic homotopy and a generic family $S^1 \to \Lambda$ of closed curves in analogous ways.

2.2. **Degree and Type 1 Reidemeister moves.** We will say that a continuous family of closed curves $\{\gamma_t\}_{t\in S^1}$ has degree d if the corresponding map from the torus to S^2 has degree d. In this section, we will describe a procedure that assigns either a $+1$ or a -1 to each Type 1 move in a sweepout. This will be called the sign of the corresponding Type 1 move. We will then prove a formula relating the sum of these signs to the degree of the sweepout. This formula is a consequence of Whitney's theorem that connected components of the space of immersed curves in $\mathbb{R}^2$ are classified by their turning numbers.

The turning number $T(\gamma)$ of an immersed curve $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined as the degree of the Gauss map sending a point $t \in S^1$ to $\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t)/|\frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t)|$.

Fix an orientation on S^2. Let γ be a generic homotopy of closed curves on S^2. Suppose a Type 1 move happens at time t'. Note that for a small $\delta > 0$, the curves γ_t with $t' - \delta \leq t \leq t' + \delta$ are immersed everywhere except for a small open disc where a small loop is created or destroyed. Fix a point $p \in S^2$ such that p does not intersect γ_t for all $t \in [t' - \delta, t' + \delta]$. Let $St_p : S^2 \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ denote the stereographic projection with respect to p. We say that the Type 1 move is positive if the turning number of the curve $St_p(\gamma_t)$ in $S^2 \setminus \{p\}$ increases by one and we say that it is negative if it decreases by 1. Observe that this definition is independent of the choice of p as long as γ_t does not intersect p for $t' - \delta \leq t \leq t' + \delta$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$ be a generic family of closed curves of degree d. The sum of the signs of all Type 1 moves is equal to $2d$.*

Proof. Let $\{\gamma_t\}_{t\in S^1}$ be a generic homotopy and let C be the union of self-intersection points of γ_t for all t. Observe that, for a generic homotopy γ, the set C has Hausdorff dimension 1.

Define $U \subset S^2 \setminus C$ to be the set of points such that, for each $x \in U$, there are only finitely many times $t \in \{t_1, ..., t_k\}$ when γ_t intersects x. Moreover, for each t_i and s_i with $\gamma_{t_i}(s_i) = x$, we require that $\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t}(t_i, s_i) \neq 0$. Since γ is generic, U is a set of full measure in S^2. Fix $x \in U$. For each moment of time when γ_t passes through x, define the local degree $d_i(x)$ to be the sign of the frame $(\frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial t}(t_i, s_i), \frac{\partial\gamma}{\partial s}(t_i, s))$. The sum of all $d_x(i)$'s is then equal to the degree of γ_t.



FIGURE 3. The degree of $St_x(\gamma_t)$ changes by 2 when γ_t passes through the point at infinity

Consider a segment of the homotopy γ_t between t_i and t_{i+1}. By a result of Whitney [W] the turning number of the composition of γ_t with the stereographic projection $St_x(\gamma_t)$ does not change unless γ_t undergoes a Type 1 move. In this case, the turning number changes by 1 (respectively -1) under a positive (respectively negative) Type 1 move.

When t approaches t_i, the curve $St_x(\gamma_t)$ is contained in some large disc D except for a simple arc a which stretches to infinity. As γ_t passes through x at time t_i, the arc a is replaced by an arc b as shown in Figure 3. We observe that the turning number of $St_x(\gamma_t)$ decreases by 2 if $d_x(i)$ is positive and increases by 2 if $d_x(i)$ is negative.

Since the homotopy is defined on S^1, it starts and ends on the same curve with the same turning number. It follows that the sum of signs of Type 1 moves equals $2\sum d_x(i)$. □

When γ has no Type 1 moves this result simplified to the following statement.

Corollary 2.2. *If $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$ is a generic sweepout that contains no Type 1 Reidemeister moves, then γ is contractible.*

Remark 2.3. Corollary 2.2 also follows from the fact that the fundamental group of each connected component of the space of immersed curves is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (see [S], [I], and [T]). Here's a sketch of the proof of this fact. Let γ_0 be an immersed curve and let F_{γ_0} be the connected component of the space of immersed curves containing

F_{γ_0}. It follows from the parametric h-principle [Gr] that the space of immersed curves is weak homotopy equivalent to ΛSM, the space of free loops on the spherical tangent bundle SM of M. It can be shown (see [H]) that the group $\pi_1(F_{\gamma_0})$ is isomorphic to the centralizer of the class represented by $(\gamma_0(s), \frac{d\gamma_0}{ds}(s)) \in \Lambda SM$ in $\pi_1(SM, (\gamma_0(0), \frac{d\gamma_0}{ds}(0))$. Since $SS^2 \cong SO_3 \cong \mathbb{R}P^3$ we obtain that $\pi_1(F_{\gamma_0}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The inclusion map $\iota : F_{\gamma_0} \to \Lambda M$ induces a homomorphism $\iota_* : \pi_1(F_{\gamma_0}) \to \pi_1(\Lambda M)$. Since $\pi_1(F_{\gamma_0}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\pi_1(\Lambda M) = \mathbb{Z}$, ι_* must be trivial.

2.3. **Degree of a family containing constant curves.** From Corollary 2.2 we obtain the following characterization of the degree of a family of curves that consists of immersed curves and constant curves. This characterization will be important in the proof of our main theorem. Let $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$ be a family of closed curves such that, for some closed interval $I \subset S^1$, we have that γ_t is a constant curve for all $t \in I$ and γ_t is a generic homotopy with no moves of Type 1 for $t \in S^1 \setminus I$. We can show then that the family γ has degree 0 or ± 1. If we consider γ on $S^1 \setminus I$, we get a map from an open interval of S^1 to Λ. After a small perturbation, we may assume that this map is generic, and so if we choose points close to the endpoints of the open interval, the corresponding curves are simple. The degree of γ then depends on the orientations of these curves. This dependence is described as follows. We assume that γ has been perturbed slightly so the above property is true.

Fix an orientation on the sphere. As above, for a small $\delta > 0$, let t_1 and t_2 be two points at the distance δ from the two endpoints of the open interval $S^1 \setminus I$. If δ is chosen to be sufficiently small, then for each $i = 1, 2$, the curve γ_{t_i} is a simple closed curve bounding a small disc D_i. The orientation of the sphere then induces an orientation of D_i, which in turn induces an orientation on ∂D_i. If this orientation coincides with the orientation of γ_{t_i} we say that γ_{t_i} is positively oriented and we say that it is negatively oriented otherwise. We say that γ has the same orientation at the endpoints of $S^1 \setminus I$ if for all sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ both γ_{t_1} and γ_{t_2} are oriented positively or both are oriented negatively. Otherwise, we say that γ has different orientations at the endpoints of $S^1 \setminus I$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let γ be as described above. If γ has the same orientation at the endpoints of $S^1 \setminus I$, then γ has degree 0. If γ has different orientations at the endpoints of $S^1 \setminus I$, then γ has degree ± 1.*

Proof. Suppose first that γ has the same orientation at the endpoints. Define a new homotopy $\widetilde{\gamma}$ as follows. For a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$, choose t_1 and t_2 as above. On the interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset S^1 \setminus I$, we set $\widetilde{\gamma}$ to be equal to γ. On the complement of this interval we define a homotopy from γ_{t_1} to γ_{t_2} through short simple closed curves as depicted in Figure 4. Observe that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ will have the same degree as γ, since two maps



FIGURE 4. Connecting β_{t_1} to β_{t_2} by a sequence of simple closed curves

coincide on $[t_1, t_2]$ and on the complement of $[t_1, t_2]$ the images of both maps have very small measure. By Lemma 2.2 the degree of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is 0.

Suppose γ has different orientations at the endpoints. As in the first case we will replace a portion of γ on the interval I with a different family of curves. Instead of a family of short curves on Figure 4, we will use a family that comes from a simple sweepout of the sphere. Let β be a simple sweepout of S^2 with the point $\beta(-1)$ contained in the small disc D_1 and $\beta(1)$ contained in the small disc D_2. Note that we do not require any control over the length or energy of curves in β for this lemma, as the statement that we are proving is purely topological.

After a small perturbation we may assume that, for some small $\epsilon > 0$, the image of $\beta(-1+\epsilon)$ coincides with the image of γ_{t_1} and the image of $\beta(1-\epsilon)$ coincides with the image of γ_{t_2}. Observe that β has different orientation at the endpoints, and so after a reparametrization we may assume that $\gamma_{t_1} = \beta(-1+\epsilon)$ and $\gamma_{t_1} = \beta(1-\epsilon)$. We define a new family γ' by setting it to be equal to γ on the interval $[t_1, t_2]$, and to coincide with $\beta[-1+\epsilon, 1-\epsilon]$ on the rest of S^1. Since γ' consists of immersed curves, it must have degree 0. For any point $x \in S^2$ that does not lie in one of the small discs D_1 or D_2, there is exactly one preimage of x under β. Therefore, if x is also a regular point of γ', then the total sum of signed preimages of x under γ restricted to $[t_1, t_2]$ must be equal to ± 1. We conclude that the degree of γ is ± 1. □

3. Surgery on Sweepouts

The purpose of this section is to perform surgery on sweepouts to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Given a generic sweepout $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$ through curves of length (energy) less than L (resp. E), we can find a sweepout $\widetilde{\gamma}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}_0$ is a constant curve and $\widetilde{\gamma}$ consists of curves of length (energy) less than L (resp. E).*

To prove this theorem, we will require methods from the article [CL] by the two authors. This method begins with defining a certain graph. Fix a map $\gamma : S^1 \to \Lambda$, and choose $t_1, \dots t_{n+1} \in S^1$ such that $t_i \neq t_j$ if $i \neq j$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, exactly one Reidemeister move occurs between each t_i and t_{i+1} for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and $t_1 = t_{n+1}$. Note that at each time t_i, γ_{t_i} is an immersed curve with transverse self-intersections, each of which consists of exactly two arcs meeting at a point.

3.1. **Graph of self-intersections.** Form the graph Γ as follows. We will first describe how to add vertices, and then describe how to connect them with edges.

Vertices The vertices of Γ will fall into $n+1$ sets $V_1, \dots, V_{n+1}$ and are defined as follows. First of all, V_{n+1} will simply be a copy of V_1. Next, to construct V_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we do the following. If γ_{t_i} is simple, then V_i is empty. If γ_{t_i} is not simple, then every vertex in V_i corresponds to a self-intersection of γ_{t_i}.

Edges To add edges to the graph, we do the following. First, add an edge between each vertex in V_1 and each corresponding vertex in V_{n+1}. Next, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, consider the Reidemeister move R in γ between γ_{t_i} and $\gamma_{t_{i+1}}$. We add edges on a case-by-case basis:

(1) If R is of Type 1, then we have two cases. The first case is if V_i or V_{i+1} is empty; in this case we do not add any vertices to Γ. The second case is if either V_i or V_{i+1} has more than 1 vertex. In this case, we do the following. For every vertex in V_i that corresponds to a self-intersection z of γ_{t_i}, either z is deleted by the Reidemeister move R, in which case we don't add an edge to z, or we can follow z forward to a self-intersection z' of $\gamma_{t_{i+1}}$, in which case we join the vertex that corresponds to z to the vertex that corresponds to z' with an edge.

(2) If R is of Type 2, then one of two things are true. One possibility is that we can find two vertices in V_i that correspond to two distinct self-intersection points x and y in γ_{t_i} that are deleted by R. We then join these two vertices by an edge. For every other vertex in V_i, that vertex corresponds to a self-intersection z of γ_{t_i}. We can follow this self-intersection forward in time to a self-intersection z' of $\gamma_{t_{i+1}}$. We join the vertex that corresponds to z to the vertex that corresponds to z' with an edge.

The other possibility is that we can find two vertices in V_{i+1} that correspond to self-intersection points x and y that were created by R. In this case, we join these two vertices by an edge. Additionally, for every other vertex in V_{i+1}, that vertex corresponds to a self-intersection point z of $\gamma_{t_{i+1}}$. There is

a self-intersection point z' of γ_{t_i} such that z' can be followed forward to z. We join the vertex that corresponds to z' to the vertex that corresponds to z with an edge.

(3) If R is of Type 3, then every vertex in V_i corresponds to a self-intersection point z of γ_{t_i}. This self-intersection point can be followed forward to a self-intersection point z' of $\gamma_{t_{i+1}}$. We join the vertex that corresponds to z to the vertex that corresponds to z' with an edge.

The following lemma characterizes the degree of each vertex of the graph Γ.

Lemma 3.2. *Each vertex in Γ has degree 0, 1 or 2. Furthermore, using the above notation, consider any vertex $v \in V_i$ and the self-intersection s of γ_{t_i} that corresponds to v. Let $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ be defined as follows. If s is destroyed in a Type 1 deletion between t_i and t_{i+1}, then $x = 1$, otherwise $x = 0$. If s is created in a Type 1 creation between t_{i-1} and t_i, then $y = 1$, otherwise $y = 0$. We then have that the degree of v is $2 - x - y$. In the above statements, if $i = 1$, then $y = 1$, and if $i = n + 1$, then $x = 1$.*

Proof. For each vertex $v \in V_i$, consider the Reidemeister move R_1 that occurs between t_{i-1} and t_i, and let R_2 be the Reidemeister move that occurs between t_i and t_{i+1}. Again, if $i = 1$, then R_1 is not defined, and if $i = n + 1$, then R_2 is not defined.

From the definition of the edges of Γ, we see that the edges added to v (with corresponding self-intersection s of γ_{t_i}) work exactly as follows. If R_1 is not defined or does not create s in a Type 1 creation, then an edge is added to Γ at v. If R_2 is not defined or does not destroy s in a Type 1 deletion, then a separate edge is added to Γ at v. This coincides with the degree computations in the statement of the lemma. □

We can look at the set of all vertices $\mathcal{V}$ such that a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ corresponds to a vertex right after it was created by a Type 1 move, or just before it is destroyed by a Type 1 move. A corollary of this lemma is that $\mathcal{V}$ can be decomposed in a particular way.

Corollary 3.3. *The set $\mathcal{V}$ is equal to the union of a number of disjoint pairs of vertices such that, for each pair (v, v'), there is a path in the graph from v to v'. Furthermore, the path between a pair (v, v') and the path between a different pair (w, w') are completely disjoint (they do not share any edges). Note that we may have that $v = v'$ for a given pair (v, v').*

We will use such paths to generate our new homotopy. We first require a definition concerning how to cut a curve at a self-intersection.

Definition 3.4. Given a smooth curve $\alpha : S^1 \to S^2$ with isolated self-intersections, we say that a curve $\beta : S^1 \to S^2$ is a *subcurve* of α if there is some closed interval

$[a, b] \subset S^1$ (possibly with $a = b$) such that β is simply α restricted to $[a, b]$. We have that $\alpha(a) = \alpha(b)$, so β is all of α, is a point, or $\alpha(a)$ is a self-intersection of α.

For each pair of self-intersections (v, v') from Corollary 3.3, the self-intersection s which corresponds to v produces two subcurves, $C_{v,1}$ and $C_{v,2}$. To form $C_{v,1}$, begin at s and follow the loop around according to its orientation until we get back to s. If we continue along the loop according to its orientation, we will encounter s another time. This forms the second subcurve $C_{v,2}$. Similarly, the self-intersection which corresponds to v' produces two subcurves $C_{v',1}$ and $C_{v',2}$. Since each edge in the graph corresponds to a continuous path between self-intersections, the path between v and v' produces a continuous path between the self-intersection that corresponds to v and the self-intersection that corresponds to v'. This path then induces a homotopy from $C_{v,1}$ to $C_{v',i}$ for some $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and it induces a homotopy from $C_{v,2}$ to $C_{v',j}$, where $j \neq i$. For consistency, assume that $C_{v,1}$ and $C_{v',1}$ correspond to the loops that were just created or are about to be destroyed by the appropriate Type 1 moves. Let these two homotopies be denoted by $h_{v,v',1}$ and $h_{v,v',2}$, respectively.

Definition 3.5. Given a pair (v, v') as above, we say that it is *good* if $h_{v,v',1}$ ends at $C_{v',2}$ ($h_{v,v',1}$ starts at $C_{v,1}$ by definition).

If a good pair (v, v') exists, we can contract any curve in the sweepout to a point through curves of controlled length and energy.

Lemma 3.6. *If the graph Γ contains a good pair, then for any curve γ_t in the sweepout, there is a contraction of γ_t to a point through curves of length (energy) less than L (resp. E).*

Proof. Observe that we can use the Type 1 destruction or creation of a small loop which corresponds to the terminal vertex v' to homotope $C_{v',2}$ to a curve in the sweepout γ. Denote this curve by γ_{t^*}. Similarly, we can use the Type 1 move corresponding to the initial vertex v to homotope the curve $C_{v,1}$ to a point. The fact that (v, v') is a good pair means that $C_{v,1}$ and $C_{v',2}$ are homotopic via the homotopy $h_{v,v',1}$ through subcurves of curves in γ. Hence, γ_{t^*} (and every other curve in γ) is homotopic to a point through subcurves of curves in γ. □

We now have that, if there is a good pair (v, v'), then our proof of Proposition 3.1 is true. To see this let the homotopy α_t be a contraction of a curve in γ. Define $\widetilde{\gamma} = (-\alpha) * \gamma * \alpha$, where $-\alpha$ signifies α in the reverse direction. This family of curves has the same degree as γ and starts at a constant curve. By Lemma 3.6 we can choose α so that the lengths of curves are less than L and energy less than E. The next subsection proves the existence of such a good pair for any sweepout γ.

If (v, v') is not a good pair, then the "cutting" procedure as above produces two homotopies, at least one of which is nontrivial. One is tempted to apply both of



FIGURE 5. We cannot remove self-intersections by repeatedly applying the cutting procedure

these cutting procedures repeatedly in the hope of constructing a homotopy with the desired properties. However, even for a simple case of homotopies of curves with at most 2 self-intersections it may happen that the maximal number of self-intersections of curves in the new homotopy does not decrease, no matter how many times we apply the cutting procedure. In fact, there are situations in which a portion of the homotopy is replicated every time we apply the cutting procedure. This is illustrated in Figure 5.

The top picture describes the original homotopy. After we apply the cutting procedure we obtain two new homotopies. One of these homotopies will start at a point and end at a point, but it may happen that it has degree 0. Then we have to consider the other homotopy, which is shown on the bottom of Figure 5. This homotopy has two Type 1 creations exactly like the original homotopy, so we cannot get rid of these intersections by applying the cutting procedure again.

3.2. **Existence of a good pair** (v, v')**.** Assigning to each Type 1 move a sign as we did when defining the degree formula in Proposition 2.1 (using some appropriate $x \in S^2$), we see that since the degree of γ is odd, the sum of all of the signs of all of the Type 1 moves is 2 *mod* 4. Hence, we can find a pair (v, v') such that the sign of the Type 1 move associated to v is positive, as is the sign of the Type 1 move associated with v'. Note that if $v = v'$, then there is some ambiguity as to which Type 1 moves we are referring to. In this case, there is a self-intersection that is created by a positive Type 1 move, and then which is immediately destroyed by a positive Type 1 move. In this case, clearly (v, v') is a good pair, and these are the



FIGURE 6. Definition of $L(\gamma_t^A)$

two Type 1 moves to which we are referring. For the remainder of this section, we may thus assume that $v \neq v'$. We then have the following.

Lemma 3.7. *If v and v' correspond to Type 1 moves of the same sign then the pair (v, v') is a good pair.*

Proof. Note that a positive Type 1 move can be either a creation of a small positively oriented loop or a destruction of a small negatively oriented loop. Each point on the graph Γ corresponds to a transverse self-intersection point of γ_t for some t. By cutting γ_t at the self-intersection point we obtain two connected curves γ_t^A and γ_t^B. To each point on the graph and a choice of γ_t^A or γ_t^B, we will associate a binary invariant L which we will call the local orientation. This invariant is based only on local data in the neighborhood of the self-intersection. We will show that the invariant changes sign every time the path in the graph between v and v' changes direction.

Let p be a self-intersection point of γ_t. Without any loss of generality we may assume that two arcs intersect at p perpendicularly. We cut γ_t at p and smooth out the intersection in such a way that we obtain two connected curves that inherit their orientations from γ_t. Let Q be a small disc in the neighborhood of p. After smoothing, the curve separates D into three connected components (see Figure 6). Let A and B denote two components that do not share a boundary and let γ_t^A and γ_t^B denote curves adjacent to A and B correspondingly. Let v_1 be a tangent vector of γ_t^A at p (solid line on Figure 6) and let v_2 be a vector pointing from p to a point on $\partial A \cap \partial D$ (dashed line on Figure 6). We define $L(\gamma_t^A) = 1$ if the ordered pair (v_1, v_2) is positively oriented and $L(\gamma_t^A) = -1$ otherwise. We define $L(\gamma_t^B)$ in the same manner. Observe that $L(\gamma_t^A) = -L(\gamma_t^B)$.

For each point q in the path P between v and v', let L_q denote $L(\gamma_t^A)$, where γ_t^A corresponds to the appropriate curve in $h_{v,v',1}$.

We observe that L_q does not change when γ undergoes a Type 3 move or a move that does not involve the self-intersection that we follow to form the path P. Consider a segment of the path P corresponding to a part of the homotopy where γ_t goes



FIGURE 7. L_q changes sign under Type 2 moves



FIGURE 8. Path P may change direction an even or odd number of times

through a Type 2 move involving the self-intersection that we follow to form P. On the graph Γ, this looks like a change of direction of the path P (see Figure 8). Let q_1 be a point on the path just before the change of direction and let q_2 be a point just after it. We claim that $L_{q_1} = -L_{q_2}$. This follows by considering Figure 7.

With this result we can now prove the lemma. Suppose (v, v') is not a good pair.

Consider two cases. Suppose first that the path P changes direction an odd number of times as in Figure 8(a). It follows that the Type 1 move corresponding to v and the Type 1 move corresponding to v' are either both creations, or are both destructions. In either case, the orientation of the loop that is being created or destroyed at v is different than the orientation of the loop that is being created or destroyed at v' since L changes sign from the beginning of P to the end of P and (v, v') is not a good pair. Thus, the sign of the Type 1 move associated with v is opposite to the sign of the Type 1 move associated with v', which is a contradiction.

Suppose P changes direction an even number of times as in Figure 8(b). Then either the Type 1 move associated with v is a creation and the Type 1 move associated with v' is a destruction, or the move associated with v is a destruction and the move associated with v' is a creation. In either case, the orientation of the small loop that is created or destroyed at v is the same as the orientation of the small loop that is being created or destroyed at v', since the L invariant has the same value at the beginning and at the end of P, and since (v, v') is not a good pair. This implies that the sign of the Type 1 move at v is opposite to that of the Type 1 move at v', which is a contradiction.

□

As described above, this implies that Proposition 3.1 is true.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Given a sweepout γ, we apply Proposition 3.1 to it to obtain a sweepout $\widetilde{\gamma}$ that starts and ends on a constant curve. For simplicity we will think of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ as a family of curves defined on $[0,1]$ with $\widetilde{\gamma}_0 = \widetilde{\gamma}_1$ being the constant curve. It follows from the construction that for all t sufficiently close to the endpoints $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ is a simple closed curve, and $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ is not constant for $t \in (0,1)$.

Our goal now is to modify $\widetilde{\gamma}$ so that it consists of curves without self-intersections, satisfies the desired length and energy bounds and has an odd degree (in fact, we will show that the new family has degree ± 1). To do this we use the results of [CL]. Let $t_1 = \delta$ and $t_2 = 1 - \delta$ be two points near the endpoints of $[0,1]$ so that $\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_1}$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_2}$ are simple closed curves each bounding a small closed disc, denoted by D_1 and D_2 respectively. We perturb the homotopy slightly so that discs D_1 and D_2 are disjoint. If δ is sufficiently small we can always do this so that the length (energy) of curves never exceed L (resp. E).

We can now apply Theorem 1.1′ from [CL] to the homotopy $\widetilde{\gamma}[t_1, t_2]$. This produces an isotopy α which starts at $\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_1}$ and ends at $\pm\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_2}$, where $-\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_2}$ denotes $\widetilde{\gamma}_{t_2}$ with the opposite orientation. Curves α_0 and α_1 are simple curves of some very small energy. We can contract each of them to a point in the corresponding small disc D_i through short simple closed curves. Hence, we can turn α into a map $\beta : S^2 \to S^2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Map β has degree ± 1.*

Proof. First, we show that the degree of β must be odd.

Let $x \in S^2$ be a regular point of $\widetilde{\gamma}$, which does not intersect any of the curves $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ for t in one of the small intervals $[0, t_1]$ and $[t_2, 1]$, and which also does not lie on any of the self-intersection points of curves in $\widetilde{\gamma}$. By selecting t_1 and t_2 sufficiently close to 0 and 1, we can ensure that the set of such points has nearly full measure in S^2. In addition we require that x does not lie on any curve of $\widetilde{\gamma}[t_1, t_2]$ at which

a Reidemeister move occurs, that is, any curve which has a singularity, a tangential self-intersection or an intersection which involves three arcs. There will be only finitely many such curves in the homotopy (see Subsection 2.1).

For each $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, the curve α_t is a redrawing of some curve $\widetilde{\gamma}_{t'}$, where $t' \in [t_1, t_2]$, but may differ from t. We can modify the original homotopy $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ so that α_t is a redrawing of $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$ for each t. This is done simply by making the homotopy move back and forth through the same curves multiple times for certain subintervals of the parameter space. This does not change the sum of the signs of the signed preimages of x with respect to $\widetilde{\gamma}$, and does not affect the initial and final curves. Since the total number of preimages of x under $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is odd (as the sum of the signed preimages is 1), the same must be true about α, and so the sum of all of the signed preimages of α must be odd as well. Since β consists of constant curves and simple closed curves, by Corollary 2.4, β has degree ± 1.

□

We now have that $\alpha : [0, 1] \to S^2$ consists of simple curves (except for α_0 and α_1 which are constant), but different curves in α may intersect.

5. Applications to converting homotopies to isotopies in an effective way

In this section we use the methods of this paper to prove Theorem 1.4. This result was conjectured to be true by the authors in ([CL], remark in the end of Section 3).

We wish to show that if two simple curves γ_0 and γ_1 on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) are homotopic through curves of length less than L, then

(1) γ_0 and γ_1 are homotopic through simple curves of length less than L, or
(2) γ_0 and γ_1 are each contractible through simple closed curves of length less than L. Here, by simple curves, we mean that all curves except for the final constant curve are simple.

We break the proof into two cases. If γ_0 is non-contractible, then since γ_1 is homotopic to γ_0, it is also non-contractible. In [CL] it was shown that γ_0 and γ_1 are isotopic through curves of length less than L.

The case that we deal with now is if γ_0 (and γ_1) are contractible. We can assume that the images of γ_0 and γ_1 do not coincide by perturbing our homotopy slightly. If we can show that the result holds for the perturbed curves, then we can perturb the curves back to the original curves, proving the theorem.

Let M be any surface and consider the universal cover $\widetilde{M}$ of M. The universal cover is either S^2 or a contractible subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since the curves γ_0 and γ_1 are contractible we can lift the homotopy between them to a homotopy in the universal

cover. Hence, without any loss of generality, we may assume that M is either a plane or a sphere.

Suppose M is a contractible subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. In this case, we can define the turning number $\mathcal{T}_0$ of γ_0 and the turning number $\mathcal{T}_1$ of γ_1 as before. Since both γ_0 and γ_1 are simple, $\mathcal{T}_0 = \pm 1$, and $\mathcal{T}_1 = \pm 1$. We can apply the procedure described in [CL] to produce a homotopy $H : [0,1] \times S^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ through simple curves of length less than L from γ_0 to $\pm\gamma_1$. Since this map goes through simple closed curves, the turning number of γ_0 and the turning number of $H(1 \times S^1)$ agree. Hence, if $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_1$, we are done.

If $\mathcal{T}_0 \neq \mathcal{T}_1$, then by an argument analogous to the one used to prove Proposition 2.1, the total sum of signs of Type 1 moves in γ is ± 2. Hence, as in Section 3, we can construct a graph Γ and find a good pair of vertices (v, v') connected by a path P in the graph. We can cut homotopy γ along P to obtain a contraction of γ_0 through curves of length less than L. Using Theorem 1.1′ from [CL], we can turn this into a contraction through simple closed curves of length less than L. We can do the same with γ_1. This completes the proof of our theorem in the case when $M = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Now, suppose that $M = S^2$. We begin with a lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *If we consider the map $f : [0,1] \times S^2$ defined by $\gamma(t,s)$, then there is a regular point $p \in S^2$ such that $f^{-1}(p)$ contains an even number of points.*

Proof. To see this we can find a smooth map $g : [-1,2] \times S^1$ such that

(1) $f(t,s) = g(t,s)$ for $t \in [0,1]$.
(2) $g(t,s)$ on $[-1,0]$ goes from a constant curve to γ_0.
(3) $g(t,s)$ on $[1,2]$ goes from γ_1 to a constant curve.
(4) $S^2 \setminus (g([-1,0] \times S^1) \cup g([1,2] \times S^1))$ contains an open set $U \subset S^2$.
(5) There exists an open set $V \subset S^2$ such that $V \subset (g([-1,0] \times S^1) \cup g([1,2] \times S^1))$ and for every $x \in V$, there is exactly one pair $(t,s) \in [-1,0] \times S^1 \cup [1,2] \times S^1$ that maps to x.

g is constructed as follows. To construct g on $[-1,0]$, we choose one of the discs bounded by γ_0, and contract γ_0 to a point in the disc in a monotone way. We repeat the same procedure with γ_1. Since γ_0 and γ_1 do not have the same image, all of the above properties are satisfied.

Since g maps $2 \times S^1$ to a point and $-1 \times S^1$ to a point, we can define a corresponding map from S^2 to S^2. If this degree is even, then for every regular value p in U, the total number of points in $f^{-1}(p)$ is even, as the sum of all of the signed preimages is equal to the degree of g, which is even. If this degree is odd, then for every regular value in $p \in V$, the total number of preimages of p ($f^{-1}(p)$) is even, since it is equal to the degree of $g \pm 1$. This completes the proof of our claim. □

We can now prove our theorem. From the above lemma, choose a regular point $p \in S^2$ whose preimage has an even number of points. Consider the stereographic projection St_p from $S^2 \setminus \{p\}$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$. We can additionally assume that p is not in the image of any curve in γ at which a Reidemeister move occurs (that is, any curve in γ that has a singularity, non-traverse intersection or an intersection which involved three arcs) and that p is not in the image of γ_0 or γ_1. Let $\widetilde{\gamma}$ denote the isotopy from Theorem 1.1′ of [CL] that starts on γ_0 and ends on $\pm\gamma_1$. As remarked in the proof of Lemma 4.1 we can assume that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a redrawing of the curve γ_t for each t. Let $\mathcal{S}_t$ denote the difference between the turning number of $St_p(\gamma_t)$ and the turning number of $St_p(\widetilde{\gamma}_t)$. Note that $\mathcal{S}_t$ is undefined for finitely many times t when the curve γ_t (and, as a result, $\widetilde{\gamma}_t$) intersects p. Since both homotopies start on the same curve we have $\mathcal{S}_0 = 0$. When γ goes through a positive Type 1 move its turning number increases by 1, while the turning number of the corresponding curve in $\widetilde{\gamma}$ remains the same. Hence $\mathcal{S}_t$ increases by one. Similarly, it decreases by one whenever γ goes through a negative Type 1 move. If γ passes through the point p then, as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 (see Figure 3), we have that the turning number of γ changes by ± 2, as does the turning number of $\widetilde{\gamma}$. Hence, every time the curve goes through the point p, we have that $\mathcal{S}_t$ changes by 0 or ± 4.

In the end we have two possibilities. If $\mathcal{S}_1 = 0$, then $\widetilde{\gamma}$ defines the desired homotopy and we are done. If $\mathcal{S}_1 = \pm 2$, then it follows that the total sum of signed Type 1 moves in homotopy γ is ± 2. Hence, there is a path between a good pair of vertices (v, v') in the appropriate graph Γ, and so using the methods from Section 4, we can contract γ_0 to a point through simple curves of length less than L. Similarly, we can do this for γ_1. This completes the proof.

References

[Bir] G. D. Birkhoff, Dynamical systems with two degrees of freedom, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1917), no. 2, 199-300.

[BM] K. Burns, V. Matveev, Open problems and questions about geodesics, preprint, arXiv:1308.5417.

[Gr] M. Gromov, Partial Differential Relations, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1986).

[CL] G. R. Chambers, Y. Liokumovich, Converting homotopies to isotopies and dividing homotopies in half in an effective way, Geometric and Functional Analysis (GAFA), Vol. 24 (2014), 1080-1100.

[Freed] M. Freedman, A conservative Dehn's lemma. Low dimensional topology, Contemp. Math. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1983, 121-130.

[GG] M. Golubitsky, and V. Guillemin, Stable mappings and their singularities, Springer-Verlag, 1974.

[H] V.L. Hansen, On the fundamental group of the mapping space, Compositio Mathematica, Vol. 28 (1974), 33-36.

[HR] N. Hingston, H.-B. Rademacher, Resonance for loop homology of spheres, J. Differential Geom. Volume 93, Number 1 (2013), 133-174.

[I] A. V. Inshakov, Gomotopicheskie gruppy krivyh na dvumernyh mnogoobraziyah, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 53 (2) (1998), 147148, English translation: Homotopy groups of spaces of curves on two-dimensional manifolds, Russian Math. Surveys, 53 (1998), 390-391.
[S] S. Smale, Regular curves on Riemannian manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1958), 492-512.
[T] V. Tchernov, Homotopy groups of the space of curves on a surface, Math. Scand. 86 (2000), no. 1, 36-44.
[V] Vinogradov, A.M. Some homotopic properties of the space of imbeddings of a circle into a sphere or a ball (Russian, English) Sov. Math., Dokl. 5, 737-740 (1964); translation from Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 156, 999-1002 (1964).
[W] H. Whitney, On regular closed curves in the plane, Compositio Math. 4 (1937), 276-284.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
E-mail address: chambers@math.uchicago.edu

Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, UK
E-mail address: y.liokumovich@imperial.ac.uk